

Reason for Meeting:	Start:	End:
Full Governing Body Meeting	01/10/2018 13:00:00	01/10/2018 15:00:00

<u>Governing Body</u>: Sean Pond, (Chair), Sarah Edwards (Headteacher), Jill Shaw, Paul Lewendon, Glenis Redhead, Peter Manning, Sophie Hartley, Andrew Sudron

Our mission is "to strive to create an exciting and challenging curriculum tailored to each pupil in a safe learning environment with the use of specialised facilities. Where teaching and learning focuses on gaining key academic and life skills and our expert staff work in close partnership with pupils and their families to help pupils achieve their greatest potential".

Core Functions of the Governing Body

- **1.** Ensuring clarity of vision, ethos and strategic direction;
- **2.** Holding the Headteacher to account for the educational performance of the school and its pupils;
- **3.** Overseeing the financial performance of the school and making sure its money is well spent

Agenda

Item Description

Led by

r		I
	PROCEDURAL	
	Welcome	Chair
FGB.17.18	To receive apologies and record acceptance of apologies	Clerk
FGB.18.18	To remind governors to declare any pecuniary or non- pecuniary interests	Clerk
FGB.19.18	To remind governors of the need for confidentially and to determine if any part of the minutes needs to be a confidential item and excluded from the minutes to be made available for public inspection	Clerk
	BUSINESS	
FGB.20.18	To discuss the summer term Data Dashboard	Headteacher
FGB.21.18	To discuss and review the 2018/19 Budget Position	Bursar
FGB.22.18	To report and confirm the dates for Headteacher Performance Management & the Pay Review Committee	Chair
FGB.23.18	To Review the Use of School Fund	Headteacher/Chair
FGB.24.18	To receive feedback from the Lead Governors on the following	



	 parental exit survey new parents survey	Vice-Chair JS
FGB.25.18	To approve the updated Home School Agreement	Headteacher
FGB.26.18	To approve the following policiesCharging & RemissionsEqualities	
FGB.27.18	AOB	
	Date of next meeting – Monday 22 nd October 2018 at 1pm	

Minutes of the FGB meeting on Monday 1st October 2018 at 1pm

Governors present: Sean Pond (Chair), Sarah Edwards (Headteacher), Glenis Redhead, Peter Manning, Sophie Hartley, Andrew Sudron, Jill Shaw **Also present**: Sandra Searle (NYCC Clerk), Louisa Hopwood (Bursar)

Item

	PROCEDURAL	Actions
	Welcome	
	The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting	
FGB.17.18	To receive apologies and record acceptance of apologies	
	No apologies had been received from Paul Lewendon so they could not be consented to	
FGB.18.18	To remind governors to declare any pecuniary or non- pecuniary interests	
	None were declared	
FGB.19.18	To remind governors of the need for confidentially and to determine if any part of the minutes needs to be a confidential item and excluded from the minutes to be made available for public inspection	
	The Clerk reminded the meeting of the need for confidentially, items requiring confidential minutes will be decided during the meeting	
	BUSINESS	
FGB.21.18	To discuss and review the 2018/19 Budget Position	



A copy of the Bursar's September report had been sent out to	
the governors prior to the meeting.	
The Bursar explained the layout of the report and what each	
column heading meant & highlighted the main points in her	
report	
 The budget had only been finalised in July due to late 	
confirmation of funding so this was the first monitoring	
report of the year.	
 Following further investigation into the apparent 	
overspend showing under Tech/Teaching staff support	
costs an error has been found and the costs shown are	
overinflated by £18,000. This therefore means the	
budget position is better than that shown in the report.	
 The annual cost of services charged by NYCC are taken April and con therefore con alcount to date 	
in April and can therefore can skew the actual to date	
 percentage figures for some budget headings Salary costs are predicted by the system to the end of 	
• Salary costs are predicted by the system to the end of the year	
Question: Are the salary increases due from 1 st September	
2018 included in these figures?	
Answer: Yes, the system automatically applies increments,	
manual adjustments need to be done where these are not due.	
Question: What about additional increments awarded?	
Answer: These can be added after the pay committee has	
made the decision	
 The column headed 'Other' is where costs known to be 	
due but not yet paid are added	
• A deficit of £148,747 is predicted by the end of the year	
• Capital follows the same process, building costs from the	
work done in the summer have come in higher than	
expected	
 Personal Care Assistant (PCAs) are averaging about 200 	
hours of overtime per month at present but they are	
being used in place of supply staff which are more costly	
and not known to the pupils	
Question: Are agency workers used at all?	
Answer: They are but it's rare and costs can sometimes be	
covered by the sickness insurance scheme	
Question: What are Techs?	
Answer: They are for example GTAs, generally it means all	
types of support staff	
 Additional costs are showing under teaching salaries as a member of staff onted back in the superannuation fund 	
member of staff opted back in the superannuation fund and backdated their contributions so the school also	
have to pay their backdated contributions	
Question: Can you explain how that works?	
Answer: Staff can choose to opt out of the pension scheme, an	
existing member of staff decided to opt back in and the	
scheme allows for contributions to be backdated. We were not	
	l



 paper again	Page 4 10
The Bursar was asked to circulate the licence deficit	Bursar
to come in from November 5 th to January 4 th	
Question: How many pupils are currently on role? Answer: 71 but we have 5 pupil consultations agreed for pupils	
agreed to the licence	
This was done modelling pupil numbers at 80 and NYCC have	
applied for from NYCC, modelling pupil numbers, staffing & resources to show the budget can be balanced in future years.	
Answer: As the school is predicting a deficit a licence must be	
Question: What is a licence deficit and why it is required?	
has different plans so the £25,000 may still be available.	
Answer: We did receive the £40,000. Forest school were given £25,000 for a feasibility study but a new Headteacher there	
the Govt?	
Question: Didn't NYCC receive some additional funding from	
Question: Is that money committed? Answer: Yes and spent	
Answer: No, we only have the $\pounds 6,000$ originally given	
areas, do we have this extra money in the devolved budget?	
the children Question: NYCC gave us £40,000 for work done in the primary	
capacity while taking into account the needs of	
in recognition that the work has improved	
was not a budget as NYCC are to be asked to fund	
ii) Capital – this is the work to Oak classroom, there	
will have been obtained.	
provided by the FM before the summer work started, quotes	
Answer: They are net of VAT, they are based on information	
Action: Headteacher to ask the FM Question: Do these figures include VAT?	Headteacher
Answer: These are questions for the Facilities Manager (FM)	
what type of contracts were they?	
as they damaged the door) Question: Are these re-occurring, do we tender for this work,	
(although the latter will be reclaimed from HACS	
storage, conferencing camera, door damage	
i) General works – this relates to decoration,	
 Summer improvement works – 2 categories 	
opting back into the scheme.	
contributions, and also to check the timescales allowed for	
staff who have opted out of the pension scheme and calculate the amount of money needed to cover any backdated	Bursar
The Governors asked the Bursar to identify other members of	Durran
additional charges were queried.	





	The Bursar was thanked very much for her hard work and she left the meeting.	
FGB.20.18	To discuss the summer term Data Dashboard A copy of the Data Dashboard had been sent out to governors prior to the meeting. The governors requested a text box be inserted on the charts explaining acronyms used. Action: Deputy Headteacher to add these in to future reports	Deputy Headteacher
	Question: On page 6 primary and secondary does not relate to age does it? Answer: No, it relates to the primary and secondary needs of	
	the pupil. Question: Can we split LAC and P Pupil Premium pupils out by sector in the future?	
	Answer: Yes, we will show this from now on Question: When was the last Safeguarding Audit completed? Answer: It is undertaken by the LA every 2 years, we are scheduled to receive the paperwork by November 2018 for submission to the LA in February 2019. We will need to rag rate the audit, it is a good tool.	
	It was agreed to discuss this at a FGB meeting in December or January	Clerk
	It was confirmed that both GR and SH had completed safeguarding visits in the last academic year. The Deputy Headteacher confirmed he will present a Safeguarding report to the FGB on a termly basis, it will look at training, the number of Child Protection plans, the number of meetings attended, the number of referrals and the number of governor visits. The report will be discussed with the Safeguarding Link Governor prior to the meeting. The next one will be presented at the 12 th November meeting.	
	The Chair confirmed he would be reviewing serious behaviour/incidents in school, looking at how they are documented and followed up.	
	The Headteacher agreed to arrange a learning walk with the Safeguarding Link Governor following feedback that governors found these very useful.	
	The date for the Pay Committee to meet was confirmed at Monday 15 th October at 3pm. Action: The Headteacher to confirm PL is able to attend	Headteacher
FGB.23.18	To Review the Use of School Fund	



his had been discussed at the last F&R meeting in July and it as noted that under the terms of reference for the F&R mmittee it was their responsibility to be involved in the ecisions made about the fund.	
the Headteacher confirmed that the Terms of Reference for the and gave responsibility for the Fund to the F&R committee & at the Fund was administered in the school office. The also confirmed she had sent a working paper on how the and could be managed to some of the governors recently. This proposed a panel made up of governors and presentatives from the staff group, which would consider aper bids submitted by members of staff periodically. Jestion: How much is there in the fund? Inswer: There is a substantial amount as fund raising was one in the past for the sensory room which has now been paid r by Variety Jestion: How was it donated? Inswer: It has been donated directly to school, through the ray FM appeal and through other charitable sources such as cal organisations, sponsored events by staff and gifts was agreed the Chair and GR would consider the working aper put forward by the Headteacher and report back to the GB. The Vice Chair confirmed she had experience of the bidding occess and offered support for reviewing bids received Jestion: How is the Fund audited? Inswer: It is audited by an external company, the last audit port has been presented to the F&R committee Jestion: The £10,000 donated by the Environment Agency, that has happened to that? Inswer: This has not yet been spent but must be spent on an invironmental positive project, so it will probably be used for e playground work. governor said it would be good to have more transparency in e School Fund as staff fundraise but are not always sure that the money raised is used for. Acknowledgements and ank you could also be posted onto the school website	
 Preceive feedback from the Lead Governors on the Ilowing:- Parental exit survey The Lead Governor reported that 8 parents had said they would be willing to take part in the online survey, responses were received from 5 which is a good response. 	
iraaeini pirus rus rus viilaeous puise gena	nd gave responsibility for the Fund to the F&R committee & t the Fund was administered in the school office. a also confirmed she had sent a working paper on how the od could be managed to some of the governors recently. If the sponsed a panel made up of governors and resentatives from the staff group, which would consider ber bids submitted by members of staff periodically. The periodically estimates a substantial amount as fund raising was me in the past for the sensory room which has now been paid by Variety estion: How was it donated? swer: It has been donated directly to school, through the aay FM appeal and through other charitable sources such as all organisations, sponsored events by staff and gifts was agreed the Chair and GR would consider the working ber put forward by the Headteacher and report back to the B. a Vice Chair confirmed she had experience of the bidding cess and offered support for reviewing bids received estion: How is the Fund audited? Swer: It is audited by an external company, the last audit ort has been presented to the F&R committee estion: The £10,000 donated by the Environment Agency, at has happened to that? swer: This has not yet been spent but must be spent on an an ironmental positive project, so it will probably be used for a playground work. overnor said it would be good to have more transparency in School Fund as staff fundraise but are not always sure at the money raised is used for. Acknowledgements and nk you could also be posted onto the school website receive feedback from the Lead Governors on the lowing:- • Parental exit survey The Lead Governor reported that 8 parents had said they would be willing to take part in the online survey,



	It would be possible to re-use the server next year and directly compare the results, possibly using either phone calls or meetings instead of online surveys. Governors noted that parents were not using the feedback forms for Ofsted or the school website. Governors also noted there was only 1 negative response given and all parents were happy for their comments to be used by the school. The Lead Governors gave the headlines results of her survey and agreed to put them in a report with the Deputy Headteachers data on assessment and pupil progress, to be discussed at a future FGB meeting Question: The negative comment, is there anything we need to pull out from it? Answer: It came from the parents feeling the school was dismissive of them Question or just at the end of the survey? Answer: There were 2 questions where comments could be given and then final comments at the end, the whole survey was only designed to take about 6 minutes. The governors thanked the Lead Governor for her work on the survey The Lead Governor reported that the survey was ready but had not yet been put to the new parents. This was planned for the coming parents evenings which	
	were due to take place on the 23 rd October and 6 th November.	
FGB.25.18	To approve the updated Home School Agreement The new agreement had been sent out to all governors prior to the meeting. The Headteacher explained it had been updated to let the parents know explicitly that the school would act on concerns of child protection robustly and to explicitly set a standard for parent behaviour/expectations. It was felt there should be a statement about child protection and the schools' safeguarding responsibilities. Governors approved the home school agreement pending this change of wording Action: The Deputy Headteacher to look at wording the statement and amending the agreement	Deputy Headteacher
FGB.26.18	 To approve the following policies <u>Charging and Remissions</u> This was now approved following the change of wording 	



	 <u>Equalities</u> The updates for pupil progress and pupil premium were still to be done, these would be done for the next FGB. It was agreed to adopt the policy as it was now and amend and re-adopt later. Question: Is there a review period or is it constantly under review? Answer: The objectives are reviewed annually so would be looked at again next year 	
FGB.27.18	 AOB <u>Data Dashboard</u> <u>The Headteacher asked the governors when they wanted the next update. It was agreed the Autumn data should be presented at the first meeting in the spring term</u> <u>Dates of future meetings</u> It was agreed the dates originally set for the spring and summer terms would be circulated for governors to note Action: Clerk to e-mail schedule of dates <u>Possible new Associate Member</u> The Headteacher reported that Michelle Farr had not been able to attend this meeting but had had a conversation with the Chair. It was agreed she would be invited to attend future meetings to support the governing body, and felt it would be very useful if she could attend one or both of the planned half day meetings with the SLT Action: Headteacher to liaise with MF <u>Playground work</u> Question: What are the plans to minimise the disruption to the pupils with the imminent start of the playground work, which will come right up to the classroom windows? Answer: The Facilities Manager is considering boarding up the windows in the affected classrooms to try to soundproof and protect the children. Further delay may cause one of the charities involved to reconsider their funding. If staff have any ideas they would be welcome. Governor statement: Moving one of the classes to the sensory room or splitting the hall and using part of that for a classroom could be options to consider Question: When is the planned go ahead? Answer: It was approved by the Council on Friday so should go ahead in 2 weeks. Question: Who is project managing? 	Clerk Headteacher



Answer: Our Facilities Manager has a project plan but we don't	
not know the exact details and will have to take each day as it comes	
Question: Is there scope for using the free weeks we have to do the worst parts?	
Answer: Half term is a possible opportunity, we are working with transport at the moment as the car park will become the	
fire safety point.	Headteacher
It was agreed a meeting with the Project Manager, the	
Facilities Manager and the Staff governor would needed	
Date of the next meeting – Monday 22 nd October 2018 at	
1pm	

There being no further business the meeting finished at 2.35pm

Signed: X Name Governor